Antidepressant Drug Development in Japan:

Current & Future Horizons

Doug Berger

Keywords: Japan, Drug development, Antidepressants, Bridging studies

Imost ten years has passed since it was noted that the number of new psychiatric drugs on the market in Japan had significantly lagged behind Western countries.¹ How has this changed over the last ten years, and what are the current challenges for pharmaceutical companies trying to enter this potentially lucrative market, especially for the most widely-used class of psychotropics, antidepressants?

Although large epidemiological studies have not been done in Japan, It is thought that up to 6 million Japanese suffer from depression² with a lifetime prevalence of 13-17%.³ This is similar to that seen in Western countries: the Depression Research in European Society (DEPRES) study found that almost 16% had suffered from depression in their lifetime.⁴ A large proportion of depressed patients are undiagnosed or even untreated in milder forms of the disease and often have physical symptoms;⁵ 75% of these patients are thought to be seen in the general medical clinics,⁶ where it is estimated that the primary reason for up to 6% of all patients' visits to the clinic is depression.⁷ Many psychiatrists promote themselves as practitioners of 'Psychosomatic Medicine' or 'Neurologic Internal Medicine', however, and these clinics would then be classified as 'Internal Medicine' or 'General Medical Clinics' for statistical purposes. The current antidepressant market size in Japan is equivalent to about US\$650 million, with sales of paroxetine (Seroxat/Paxil) making up approximately half of this value.

Clinical trials and many clinicians in Japan use the criteria published in "Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th Edition"⁸ (DSM-IV) to categorise their diagnoses. However, many psychiatrists still use traditional Japanese nomenclature of psychiatric illnesses, using terms like 'Autonomic Nervous Dysfunction', 'Vague Somatic Complaints', 'Psychologic Reaction' to describe conditions that are probably largely comprised of depressive and anxiety disorders as defined by DSM-IV. This is partly due to cultural habit, partly due to lack of training in the use of DSM-IV criteria, and partly due to hesitancy on the part of the clinician to stigmatise the patient with a diagnosis of depression. This disparity of diagnosis can lead to difficulties when comparing cases with the international

literature, and could lead to treatment options being missed.

Regulatory effect on antidepressant trials in Japan

While the market has seen the entry of the SSRIs fluvoxamine ('Luvox' from Fujisawa, and 'Depromel' from Meiji Seika), paroxetine ('Paxil' from GSK), and the SNRI milnacipran ('Toledomin' from Asahi

Kasei-Janssen), other blockbuster antidepressants including sertraline ('Zoloft' from Pfizer), fluoxetine ('Prozac' from Eli Lilly) and others are either still in development or have essentially been abandoned with respect to the Japanese market.

Slowly, the Japanese regulatory system run by the Ministry of Health Labor and Welfare (MHLW) has required that the criteria for clinical development in Japan catch up with the quality seen in the West. For example, none of the antidepressants on the market in Japan has been studied using placebo controls in Japan, but this is now changing. Previous clinical developments have pitted the new drug against a drug already on the market using a 'non-inferiority' method of comparison. In studies of antidepressants, this method is known to be susceptible to 'placebo effects', ie, depressive symptoms lifting due to effects other than pharmacologic drug effect. For example, if patients know that that they are definitely receiving an 'active' drug, having been told that

there is no placebo arm in the study, this could be sufficient to cause a response. Additionally, some study subjects with relatively mild depression might be likely to recover naturally over time, whichever treatment they receive.

Traditionally, conducting clinical trials in depression has been a sensitive topic because of the perceived risk of suicide in an untreated depressed patient. However, some Japanese investigators have been calling for a number of years for use of placebo in carefully selected depressed patients. Recent surveys have found that the majority of Japanese psychiatrists think that, at least in principal, it is both ethical and scientifically valid to carefully conduct clinical trials with placebo control in depressed patients in Japan. This has been backed by a push from industry, presenting data from studies conducted in the West showing that the suicide attempt rate is no different in active vs. placebo arms. The Japanese regulatory authorities have recently requested that compounds starting clinical development in Japan should have dose-finding studies conducted against placebo.

Other compounds have already completed clinical studies in Japan using a 'pseudoplacebo' (ie, very low doses of an active comparator), or relapse prevention studies (in which some subjects who respond to the active drug are then switched to placebo and compared against those remaining on the active drug). Companies developing these drugs are now being told to conduct a formal doseresponse study with placebo control before submitting a New Drug Application (NDA) for sale in Japan. Naturally, this has delayed the entry of these antidepressants into the market in Japan by many years and cost many more millions of dollars in R&D expenditure.

The effect of the political climate on drug development in Japan also cannot be underestimated. The authorities running the Japanese national medical insurance system appear very careful to contain a potentially explosive market for such drugs as antidepressants, which could conceivably be used for persons spanning a wide range of psychological distress. In the late 1990s, virtually the entire class of 'cerebral metabolic enhancers' used for post-stroke syndromes and other cognitive disorders including depression was eliminated from the marketplace because of perceived lack of efficacy and high cost to the national health system. Several post-marketing trials were requested and the resultant lack of positive findings led to the decline of a market worth hundreds of millions of dollars.

Bridging of global data into Japan

Adoption of the ICH guidelines9 in Japan makes it possible in principal to 'bridge' clinical trail data from outside Japan into the Japanese data package for NDA. However, whether this is permitted in practice for a given drug could be considered to depend more on the potential importance of that drug to medical care in Japan, than the scientific logic of the data to be bridged. For example, a novel drug for influenza was able to 'bridge' overseas data to meet a considerable portion of the Japanese requirements and gain entry into the Japanese market. Conversely, antidepressant drugs used in millions of patients over several years in multiple countries outside Japan are still required to have pharmacokinetic,

Sertraine Zoloft)



dose-finding, elderly, and long-term studies conducted within Japan. In addition, a noninferiority trial comparing the developmental drug with an antidepressant already on the market in Japan is also required in order to determine how the drug's action should be viewed vis a vis prior drugs on the Japanese market and in a Japanese medical environment.

We would hope that, eventually, antidepressants could be approved in Japan with bridging studies. For example, if a direct comparison between Japanese and Western persons in a Phase I study finds similar pharmacokinetics, and if a dosefinding study in Japan shows similar dosing and similar safety and tolerability with global dose-finding studies, then a NDA would seem valid, especially for a drug that is already on the market internationally. Further comparison with a drug already on the Japanese market in a noninferiority study where both groups show equal likelihood to respond does not seem to add substantial clinical information to the approval

process of this drug in Japan. In addition, if a direct comparison of Japanese to Western persons in a Phase I study finds similarity in pharmacokinetics, it might even be reasonable then for Japanese study sites to contribute subjects to a global development program that would also lead to a NDA in Japan, just as centres across continents now participate in multinational

now participate in multinational clinical trials leading to NDAs in the USA and Europe.

Conclusion

The factors confronting the clinical development of antidepressants in Japan are also common to other CNS medicinals in Japan. Both scientific logic and political mechanisms from both within as well as from outside

both within as well as from outside Japan might be able to nudge the approval process towards accepting more bridging studies, as well as global studies done with contributing Japanese sites for NDA in Japan.

Western companies hoping to enter the CNS market in Japan should consider partnering with a company already experienced in the

Not a member of The Institute? Subscribe to Clinical Research focus!



Clinical Research focus is widely acknowledged as the premier journal on the management and conduct of clinical research. Members of The Institute of Clinical Research receive all ten issues of the journal every year as a benefit of their membership. We are now allowing individuals and organisations (eg libraries) that are not members of The Institute to subscribe to this journal. For only £135 you can receive Clinical Research focus for a whole year (ten issues). Back-orders of individual copies are available for 3 months after publication, for £15 each.

Order now and start your subscription with the next issue!

		£ Sterling, drawn on a UK clearing bank and made paya urocard/Mastercard/Visa/Delta/Switch), the details a		
		Issue No: Switch c	ards only	
Expiry date:	Valid from:	Signed	Date	
Delivery Address	Name:			
	Address:			
~	Post Code:	Country:	The	
LAT	Telephone:	Email:	<u> </u>	
Please return your	completed order form a	nd payment by post or fax to:	<u> </u>	
1 74	nical Research, PO Box 2 399755 Fax: +44 (0) 162	2962, Marlow, Buckinghamshire SL7 1XH 8 899766	Research	

development of CNS compounds in Japan in order to avoid the pitfalls of delayed timelines and even requirements to repeat studies. As in many areas of doing business in Japan, one needs to have access to Japanese experience, and the will to persevere and to dig deep into one's pocket before hoping to succeed in the land of the rising sun.

Doug Berger MD, PhD (www.japanpsychiatrist.com/ DrugDev.html) is Medical Director, Tokyo Meguro Counseling Center, Tokyo, Visiting Assistant Professor of Psychiatry, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, New York, and acts as a Consultant to the pharmaceutical industry in Japan.

References

- 1 Berger D & Fukunishi I (1996): Psychiatric Drug Development in Japan, Science 273 (July 19), 318-319.
- Watanabe et al (1997): Primary care treatment of depression Q&A (in Japanese), Second revised edition. p1. Kanehara Shuppansha.
- Kasper, S (1997): Treatment of unipolar major depression: algorithm for pharmacology. Int j Psychiat Clin Practice 1 (suppl. 1) S5-S7.

- 4 Lepine J-P, Gastpar M, Mendlewicz J, Tylee A (1997): Depression in the community: the first pan-European study DEPRES (Depression Research in European Society). Int Clin Psychopharmacol 12, 19–30.
- 5 Sarai, Keisuke (1997): Pharma Medica (In Japanese) 15(10), 41.
- 6 Watanabe, Yoichiro et al (1984): Nihon Yakuji Hokoku [Japan Regulatory Report] (In Japanese) 3149, 48.
- 7 Tsuda, Tsukasa (1992): Igaku no Ayumi
 [Medical Progress] (In Japanese) 160 (10), 819.
- 8 American Psychiatric Association (1994):
 Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th Edition, ISBN: 0890420629
- 9 Juillet Y (2003): Internationalization of regulatory requirements. Fundam Clin Pharmacol. Feb; 17(1), 21-5.

Cardio Analytics

Address:	Tamar Science Park, Derriford		
	Plymouth PL6 8BU UK		
Telephone:	+44 (0) 1752 20 11 44		
Fax:	+44 (0) 1752 20 11 45		
Email:	alexandria.crowhurst@cardioanalytics.com		
Web:	www.cardioanalytics.com		

The Core Partner of Choice – an ECG success story

In a time where an increased emphasis is placed on cardiac safety, the ECG has come to the forefront in the development of new drugs. During recent years, Cardio Analytics has emerged as one of the world's premier Centralised Cardiology Service providers. Based in Plymouth in the South West of England, the Company has built its reputation on quality, flexibility and expertise in analysing and processing ECG data for the Clinical Trials industry.

Established in 1994 the Company had humble beginnings employing just two people providing a Cardiological Investigation Service to local GPs. However, an ever-increasing reputation for quality and service inevitably lead to a growing order book and a requirement for a larger work force. This growth and a move into the Clinical Trials field forced the Company to relocate on several occasions. In July 2003, Cardio Analytics moved to



Core Partners of Choice

its own purpose-built facility on the Tamar Science Park in Plymouth, which has proved to be a much more suitable working environment.

At present Cardio Analytics employs approximately 70 staff, all of whom are highly skilled and motivated, regardless of role. Over the past decade the Company has forged close links with Phase I units throughout Europe, has become a preferred ECG vendor for several major US based pharmaceutical companies and has participated in several Clinical Trials in the Far East. Cardio Analytics also continues to provide Clinical Cardiology services to PCTs, Hospitals and GPs. In addition, two new services have been introduced; the provision of Health Screening services to the general public and in house training covering all aspects of the ECG for CRAs and nursing staff involved in Clinical Trials. With the Company's experience and spectrum of services provided, Cardio Analytics is clearly the 'Core Partner of Choice' for ECG requirements.